Meeting reference: TULEADERSHIP (by ZOOM)

MINUTES

Meeting: TUSEI Leadership Group

Date: 21st September, 2020

Chairperson: Tom Boland

Attendees: Ellen Hazelkorn, Brian Foley, David Ryan, Derek O'Byrne, Declan Doyle,

Helen Murphy, Joseph Collins, Richard Hayes, David Dowling,

Suzanne Denieffe, Frances Hardiman, Elaine Sheridan, John Fortune, Karen Hennessey, Peter McLoughlin, Maebh Maher, John Wells, David

Denieffe.

Apologies: Mark White, Cormac O'Toole

No.	ltem
1.	AGENDA The Chairperson welcomed the members and outlined the agenda for the meeting:
	 Minutes of meeting of 14th September – matters arising Update from Project Office Update from each workstream. Review of "Workstreams and Working Groups Expected Outcomes and Deliverables" (document attached). AOB
2.	MINUTES OF MEETING 14 th SEPTEMBER 2020
	The Chairperson advised the meeting that while the minutes were completed they were not circulated due to his oversight. The minutes of the Leadership Group meeting of 14 th September will now be added to the TEAMS channel and also uploaded on the website.
3.	UPDATE FROM THE PROJECT OFFICE
	The Chairperson provided the meeting with the following updates:
	I. EOI for workstream coordinators issued in both institutes. Closing date set for receipt of EOIs is 28 th September. Priority is for workstream co-ordinators to be in place as a matter of urgency. The Project Office is to give consideration to a process for selection of workstream coordinators from those who express interest.
	II. EOI for membership of working groups close to completion and to be issued shortly. EOI to be accompanied by a list of all working groups to assist all interested staff in

Meeting reference: TULEADERSHIP (by ZOOM)

selecting their preferred working group(s). In this regard, the Chairperson drew attention to the briefing note prepared on working groups which will help workstream leaders and working group chairs to fully understand the role and purpose of the working groups in the project and to give a shared sense of how the working groups will operate. Workstream leaders were invited to revise / update their working group descriptions in light of this note.

- **III.** A briefing note is being prepared for external stakeholders which is due to be issued in coming days
- **IV.** A press release is being prepared to be issued in coming days, simultaneously with the note to stakeholders.
- **V.** A meeting was held with the HEA on 16th September regarding the recent TUTF application. The high quality of the application was acknowledged and a final decision on the award of funding will be taken by the HEA Board on 29th September.

4. UPDATE FROM EACH WORKSTREAM

Workstream leaders provided updates as follows:

- WS1 Corporate: Chairs of most working groups in place; working groups currently scoping their work;
- WS2 Student Lifecycle / Student Services: Significant overlaps have been identified
 with WS3; There is likely to be a realignment of working groups in WS2 as a result –
 to be confirmed after meeting between WS Leaders; Chairs confirmed for student
 services working groups; presentations have been received from relevant domainspecific experts in both institutes, across a wide range of relevant topics;
- WS3 Academic / T&L: the overlap with WS2 is being addressed; selection of chairs currently ongoing;
- WS4 Research and Innovation; project plan has been finalised and incorporated into the master plan; overlap with WS5 has been identified and changes made as a result; currently approaching potential working group chairs;
- WS5 Engagement: three working groups identified; chairs being approached; clarification sought that EOI for membership of WGs will be open to domain-specific experts and all other staff –this was confirmed by the Chairperson;
- WS6 Multi-campus: three working groups identified; chairs in place; meeting proposed with all chairs to ensure uniformity of approach;
- WS7 Communications: three working groups identified; chairs in place; focus on populating the working groups; potential overlap with WS5 identified;
- WS8 workplan drafted and projects identified; currently limiting working groups to students, however some staff will be involved in some of the working groups at a later stage; work has commenced and is being monitored by workstream leaders.

The absence of lifelong learning being explicitly called out in any workstream was raised. There was agreement that this needed to be reflected in the project plan and a dedicated working group was suggested.

The PO suggested that a template for some of the outputs from working groups might be useful. This will be further explored. However it was emphasised that the outputs of the working groups should be able to demonstrate to the evaluation panel evidence of 'acting

		as one' and show 'what we have done, what is being done and what we will continue to do'
		across all the workstreams.
		acioss dii the workstreams.
		The issue of balance was also raised. We should strive for balance, where possible, in
		membership of working groups across multiple aspects including gender, institution, staff
		etc.
	5.	REVIEW OF WORKSTREAMS AND WORKING GROUPS: EXPECTED OUTCOMES AND
		DELIVERABLES
		The Chairperson drew members' attention to this briefing note, which had been circulated
		in advance of the meeting, noting that it would be useful in helping workstream leaders and
		working group chairs in structuring their workstreams and working groups.
		working group chairs in structuring their workstreams and working groups.
	6.	AOB
	0.	AOD
		There was no AOB
-		
	7.	NEXT MEETING
		Monday, 28st September, 2020, 11:00 am (by Zoom)
		(2) 20